



## Complete Summary

---

### **GUIDELINE TITLE**

BASHH 2006 national guidelines - consultations requiring sexual history-taking.

### **BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)**

French P, Sexual History-Taking Working Party, Clinical Effectiveness Group of the British Association for Sexual Health and HIV. BASHH 2006 national guidelines-- consultations requiring sexual history-taking. Int J STD AIDS 2007 Jan;18(1):17-22. [34 references] [PubMed](#)

### **GUIDELINE STATUS**

This is the current release of the guideline.

## COMPLETE SUMMARY CONTENT

SCOPE  
METHODOLOGY - including Rating Scheme and Cost Analysis  
RECOMMENDATIONS  
EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS  
BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS  
QUALIFYING STATEMENTS  
IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE  
INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT  
CATEGORIES  
IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY  
DISCLAIMER

## SCOPE

### **DISEASE/CONDITION(S)**

Sexual health

### **GUIDELINE CATEGORY**

Diagnosis  
Evaluation  
Risk Assessment

### **CLINICAL SPECIALTY**

Family Practice  
Infectious Diseases

Internal Medicine  
Obstetrics and Gynecology  
Urology

## **INTENDED USERS**

Advanced Practice Nurses  
Nurses  
Physician Assistants  
Physicians

## **GUIDELINE OBJECTIVE(S)**

- To improve the sexual health of individuals attending genitourinary (GU) medicine clinics by encouraging high standards of sexual risk assessment
- To offer recommendations on best practice regarding sexual history for both men and women including adolescent patients

## **TARGET POPULATION**

Men and women, including adolescent patients, in the United Kingdom

## **INTERVENTIONS AND PRACTICES CONSIDERED**

1. Maintenance of patient confidentiality, including consideration of the physical environment for history-taking
2. Communication strategies, including use of clinical literature/advertising leaflets, use of good communication skills, and policies to address the needs of patients with communication problems
3. Appropriate components of sexual history-taking, including reasons for attendance, symptom review, sexual history, previous sexually transmitted infections (STIs), and history of drug use or allergies
4. Risk assessment for STIs
5. Special considerations for patients less than 16 years of age
6. Record keeping in keeping with the recommended national good standards of practice

## **MAJOR OUTCOMES CONSIDERED**

- Effectiveness of sexual history-taking in patient diagnosis and risk assessment
- Comfort of patients undergoing sexual-history taking

## **METHODOLOGY**

### **METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT EVIDENCE**

Hand-searches of Published Literature (Primary Sources)  
Hand-searches of Published Literature (Secondary Sources)  
Searches of Electronic Databases

## **DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO COLLECT/SELECT THE EVIDENCE**

A literature search was undertaken using the terms 'sexual history', 'sexual history-taking' and 'sexual risk assessment' on Medline and PubMed databases. In addition, chapters on sexual history-taking and the National Standards for Sexual Health Service in the United Kingdom were examined for relevant evidence. Forward and backward searching from key references was also used.

## **NUMBER OF SOURCE DOCUMENTS**

Not stated

## **METHODS USED TO ASSESS THE QUALITY AND STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE**

Weighting According to a Rating Scheme (Scheme Given)

## **RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE EVIDENCE**

### **Levels of Evidence**

#### **Ia**

- Evidence obtained from meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials

#### **Ib**

- Evidence obtained from at least one randomised controlled trial

#### **IIa**

- Evidence obtained from at least one well designed controlled study without randomisation

#### **IIb**

- Evidence obtained from at least one other type of well designed quasi-experimental study

#### **III**

- Evidence obtained from well designed non-experimental descriptive studies such as comparative studies, correlation studies, and case control studies

#### **IV**

- Evidence obtained from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experience of respected authorities

## **METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE**

## Systematic Review

### **DESCRIPTION OF THE METHODS USED TO ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE**

Not stated

### **METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS**

Expert Consensus

### **DESCRIPTION OF METHODS USED TO FORMULATE THE RECOMMENDATIONS**

The Sexual History Working Party membership includes genitourinary (GU) medicine clinicians and representatives from general practice, nursing, and sexual health advising.

The guideline was predominantly based on what a broad range of clinicians believe constitutes reasonable best practice. Because of the limited evidence regarding best practice in sexual history taking in GU medicine clinic settings, evidence is cited from non-United Kingdom sexual health settings and from other settings outside sexual health care.

### **RATING SCHEME FOR THE STRENGTH OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### **Grading of Recommendations**

#### **A (Evidence Levels Ia, Ib)**

- Requires at least one randomised controlled trial as part of the body of literature of overall good quality and consistency addressing the specific recommendation.

#### **B (Evidence Levels IIa, IIb, III)**

- Requires availability of well conducted clinical studies but no randomised clinical trials on the topic of recommendation.

#### **C (Evidence Level IV)**

- Requires evidence from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experience of respected authorities.
- Indicates absence of directly applicable studies of good quality.

### **COST ANALYSIS**

A formal cost analysis was not performed and published cost analyses were not reviewed.

### **METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION**

External Peer Review  
Internal Peer Review

## DESCRIPTION OF METHOD OF GUIDELINE VALIDATION

Prior to publication, the final draft of the guideline was placed on the British Association for Sexual Health and HIV (BASHH) website, and copies were circulated to the genitourinary medicine regional audit, Genitourinary Nurses Association (GUNA) and Society of Sexual Health Advisers (SSHA) chairs for comment and peer review. The draft guideline was posted on the SSHA and GUNA web pages for comment.

Recommendations from this consultation exercise were fed back to the Sexual History Working Party and Clinical Effectiveness Group for consideration and discussion.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

### MAJOR RECOMMENDATIONS

Levels of evidence (I-IV) and grades of recommendation (A-C) are defined at the end of the "Major Recommendations" field.

#### **Confidentiality**

##### **General Medical Confidentiality**

All National Health Service (NHS) employees are expected to adhere to the Caldicott Principles for confidentiality, and guidance from the General Medical Council stresses the importance of confidentiality. General medical confidentiality in the United Kingdom (UK) is a common law duty. The duty of confidentiality to the patient is absolute except in very specific circumstances, such as when it is in the patient's or public's interest. This might include child protection cases, or cases where another individual is placed at risk of an infection.

Some infections diagnosed in genitourinary (GU) medicine clinics (particularly viral hepatitis) require statutory notification irrespective of the site of diagnosis.

##### **Venereal Diseases Acts**

The particular vulnerability of patients attending a GU medicine clinic is reflected by the requirements for confidentiality within a GU medicine clinic, which are even more stringent than in other parts of the NHS. These are defined by statute in the Venereal Diseases Acts of 1917 and subsequent NHS regulations.

Patient notes in GU medicine clinics are kept separately from other hospital notes, and General Practitioners (GPs) are not routinely informed of a patient's attendance, unless the patient has been initially referred by letter.

If it is in the patient's interest for another health care worker to be informed, their consent to disclosure should be sought.

### **The Physical Environment for Sexual History-Taking**

- A welcoming, comfortable, confidential physical environment is likely to encourage openness when discussing sensitive issues, such as sexual behaviour. To facilitate this, the following measures should be adopted.
- Services may find that clearly displaying literature that stresses confidentiality of the clinic and the non-judgemental nature of assessment improves the consultation.
- Clinic administration procedures (storage/visibility of clinic files and clinic lists, etc.) should be designed to ensure that confidentiality is maintained between patients. Clinics should decide on the most appropriate way of calling patients for consultations such as calling by first name, full name, forename, or number. Care should be taken to confirm that patient identification is correct.
- Consultations should take place in private settings and behind a sound-proofed closed door.
- Students and observers should be present only with the patient's consent, and the wishes of the patient should be respected if the presence of a student or observer is declined.

*Recommendation: Sexual history-taking should take place in a confidential, private environment. **Evidence Level IV, Grade of Recommendation C.***

*Recommendation: All clinics should have a confidentiality policy that should be displayed in the waiting area or otherwise made available to patients. **Evidence Level IV, Grade of Recommendation C.***

### **Management of Sexual Contacts**

- The utmost care should be taken to preserve the patient's and sexual contacts' confidentiality during the consultation. This can be difficult in certain situations, for example, where a patient attends as a contact of an infection, but does not know the reason for their attendance.
- The index patient must not be identified. The clinician must not confirm the identity of the index, even if raised by the patient, or reveal any details about a contact's attendance (or non-attendance) or clinical condition.

### **Communication**

#### **Clinic Access and External Communication/Advertising**

- Although many individuals who are referred to or refer themselves to sexual health/GU medicine clinics will expect to be asked sensitive questions regarding their sexual behaviour, this may not be the case for all patients.
- Clinic advertising, including the use of websites and clinic leaflets displayed in other settings outside the GU clinic (i.e., GP surgeries, contraceptive clinics, schools, colleges, etc.), should explain the role of the clinic and what should be expected during a consultation. This may improve the acceptability of asking questions which may otherwise be perceived as being intrusive.

*Recommendation: Clinic literature/advertising leaflets should include sections regarding the need to take a sexual history. Evidence Level IV, Grade of Recommendation C*

### **Communication Skills**

- Good communication skills are required by all clinicians and may be important in improving health outcomes. On the initial contact with a patient, there are some particularly important aspects of communication skills that are required and may be particularly important in obtaining an accurate sexual history: These skills include the following components: initial greeting of the patient; maintaining eye contact and using appropriate body language; initiating a consultation with open questions followed by exploration of initial concerns and more closed questions as the consultation continues; awareness of the signs of anxiety and distress from the patient; recognizing non-verbal cues from the patient.
- Particular issues that require training for sexual history taking include addressing attitudinal issues to sexual behaviour, specific knowledge about the range of sexual practice and developing an understanding of the need to maintain confidentiality within consultations.
- Although there are well-recognized models of best practice in communication skills training, assessment of the quality of communication skills is complex. A variety of different mechanisms for assessing communication skills have been proposed including patient questionnaires, direct or video-recorded consultation with patients or simulated patients.
- *Recommendation: Assessment of clinician communication skills should form part of the assessment of service quality. Evidence Level IV, Grade of Recommendation C*

### **Communication Difficulties**

Availability of sign language interpreters, foreign language interpreters and access to Language Line are all strategies that may need to be adopted.

- *Recommendation: All sexual health clinics should have policies in place to address the needs of patients with whom there are communication problems, including patients whose first language is not English, deaf patients and patients with learning difficulties. Evidence Level IV, Grade of Recommendation C*

### **Components of a Sexual History**

The appropriate detail of the sexual history will vary between services but should allow:

- A careful assessment of symptoms to guide the examination and testing
- An exposure history to elucidate which sites need to be sampled and the sexually transmitted infections (STIs) to which the patient may be at risk
- An assessment of use of contraception and risk of pregnancy
- Assessment of other sexual health issues (also allowing a discussion of psychosexual problems)
- Assessing HIV, hepatitis B and C risk for both testing and prevention

- Assessment of risk behaviours, which will then facilitate health promotion activity including partner notification and sexual health promotion
- A summary of a suggested 'core sexual history' is in the table below

**Table. Core Sexual History Components**

<b>Symptoms/Reasons for Attendance</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Last sexual intercourse (LSI), partner gender, sites of exposure, condom use</li> <li>• Previous sexual partner details as for LSI</li> <li>• Previous sexually transmitted infections (STIs)</li> <li>• For women: last menses period (LMP), contraceptive and cytology history</li> <li>• HIV risk history</li> <li>• Hepatitis B and C risk assessment</li> <li>• Establish mode of giving results</li> <li>• Establish competency/child protection concerns (if age &lt;16 years)</li> </ul>

### **Reasons for Attendance**

It is best to start the sexual history with less intrusive questions regarding presenting concerns and symptoms before asking more sensitive questions regarding sexual behaviour. The reason for attendance should be ascertained. After this has been elucidated, the clinician should ask direct questions regarding any associated GU symptoms. All clinicians will ask further questions regarding the duration and nature of any reported symptoms.

### **Symptom Review**

It is uncertain whether a symptom review in patients not reporting symptoms is useful. However, many GU medicine clinicians ask about specific genital symptoms in case this reveals overlooked or ignored problems. Many clinicians would routinely ask women presenting to GU medicine clinics if they had the following symptoms:

- A change in vaginal discharge
- Vulval skin problems
- Lower abdominal pain
- Dysuria
- Changes in menstrual cycle or irregular bleeding

Many clinicians would routinely ask men presenting to GU medicine clinics if they had the following symptoms:

- Urethral discharge
- Dysuria
- Genital skin problems
- Peri-anal/anal symptoms (in gay men)

### **Sexual History**



- The more detailed parts of the sexual history outlined below may be elucidated during the initial discussion with the patient. However, they will more often be ascertained while asking more 'closed' questions later in the consultation.
- Services primarily undertaking STI screening may undertake a brief core sexual history to establish whether someone is at any risk to STIs and take a more detailed history if the STI screen is positive.
- Using 'bridging' questions, which link general lifestyle questions to sexual history questions or 'universal' questions (questions which are explicitly asked of all patients), may also help when introducing sensitive questions. The need to ask important questions regarding risk taking (such as homosexual relationships and injecting drug use), which some patients may find offensive, should be clearly explained to all patients.

#### *Last Sexual Intercourse (LSI)*

All individuals should be asked:

- Gender of partner

*Rationale: To identify gay/bisexual men in order to take rectal and pharyngeal samples, undertake hepatitis screening and vaccination and offer HIV testing and counselling.*

- Type of sexual intercourse/sites of exposure (oral, vaginal, anal)

*Rationale: To identify which sites need to be sampled and in those gay men reporting anal intercourse to offer HIV testing and risk reduction.*

- Condom use/barrier contraception during sexual intercourse (and whether the condom was consistently used and remained intact)

*Rationale: Facilitation of condom promotion and risk assessment.*

- Relationship with partner (long-term partner – record duration of relationship, non-traceable casual partner, traceable casual partner, etc.) **Evidence Level IV, Grade of Recommendation C**

*Rationale: To facilitate partner notification*

- Problems or symptoms of partner

*Rationale: To identify STI diagnosis, or symptoms suggestive of an STI, in partners*

#### *Previous Sexual Partner (Before Partner of LSI Last Partner Change)*

All individuals should be asked:

- Gender of partner
- Site of exposure

- Use of barrier contraception
- Relationship to partner (as for last sexual intercourse - above) **Evidence Level IV, Grade of Recommendation C**
- Problems or symptoms of partner

*Rationale: as for 'Last sexual intercourse (LSI)'.*

#### *Time Period of Sexual History*

- The sexual history should include all partners within the previous three months. Taking a three-month risk history would identify HIV risk behaviour not covered by a negative HIV antibody test.
- If no partners are reported during this time, the last time the patient had sexual intercourse should be noted.
- If the patient is symptomatic, the sexual history should include all partners during the incubation period of STIs that may be the cause of the symptoms with which the patient presents.
- All patients who report no unprotected penetrative oral, vaginal or anal intercourse during this period should be asked the last time that this took place.
- All men should be asked if they have had sex with another man in the past.

*Rationale: to establish which STIs the patient may be at risk of, and to inform partner notification.*

### **Other Components of History**

#### *Previous STIs*

*Recommendation: all individuals should be asked about a history of STIs.*

**Evidence Level IV Grade of Recommendation C**

- The diagnosis and approximate date of and diagnosis should be recorded.
- Patients with a previous history of syphilis should have the date of diagnosis, stage of syphilis, treatment given, and clinic of treatment recorded.

*Rationale: To allow the interpretation of positive syphilis serology in patients with a previous history of syphilis.*

#### *Past Medical and Surgical History*

*Rationale: To identify conditions that may be associated with or influence the management of STIs.*

#### *Drug History and History of Allergies*

#### *Recommendations*

- All patients should have a history of current medication. **Evidence Level IV, Grade of Recommendation C**

- All patients should be asked for history of previous allergies particularly to antibiotics. **Evidence Level IV, Grade of Recommendation C**

*Rationale: To identify drugs that cannot be given safely*

#### *Contraceptive and Reproductive Health History*

*Recommendation: All women should be asked the following questions:*

- Contraceptive use and compliance
- Last menstrual period and usual cycle **Evidence Level IV, Grade of Recommendation C**
  - *Rationale: To identify pregnancy or pregnancy risk*
  - To avoid drugs contraindicated in pregnancy
  - To provide post coital contraception if indicated
  - To give advice regarding contraception if necessary
  - To advise regarding the reduced efficacy of the oral contraceptive pill if antibiotics are given
  - Previous pregnancies
- When last cervical cytology was taken (if aged more than 25 years). Result, and if ever abnormal. **Evidence Level IV, Grade of Recommendation C**

*Rationale: To determine whether to recommend cervical cytology.*

#### **Risk Assessment**

*Recommendation: All individuals should have the following questions asked:*

- Current or past history of injecting history of injecting drug misuse; sharing of needles, syringes or drug preparation equipment ('works'). **Evidence Level IV, Grade of Recommendation C**

*Rationale: To identify the need for hepatitis B, hepatitis C and HIV testing and hepatitis B vaccination.*

- Whether they have ever had sex abroad, other than with a travelling partner; the nationality or country of birth of their sexual partners.

*Rationale: To identify sexual partners at higher risk of STIs and identify the need to test for STIs that are significantly less common in the UK. **Evidence Level IV, Grade of Recommendation C***

- Whether they have ever had medical treatment abroad.

*Rationale: To establish the need to test for nosocomial bloodborne virus acquisition.*

- HIV testing history

*Rationale: To determine whether HIV testing is necessary.*

- All individuals at risk for Hepatitis B (including sex workers, gay men and intravenous drug users [IDUs]) should be asked for Hepatitis B vaccination history. **Evidence Level IV, Grade of Recommendation C.** *Rationale: identification requires serological testing of hepatitis B and vaccination.*
- Men and women may be asked whether they have ever exchanged money in return for sex. **Evidence Level IV, Grade of Recommendation C**

*Rationale: to allow appropriate health promotion and hepatitis B testing and vaccination.*

## **Under 16 Years of Age**

### *Competency*

*Recommendation:* All patients less than 16 years of age should have their competency to consent to history taking and examination assessed and this assessment should be documented in the clinical notes. **Evidence Level IV, Grade of Recommendation C**

### *Child Protection Concerns*

Where there are any concerns regarding a child's safety, there should always be serious consideration given to liaison with the local Child Protection Team.

Answers to the following additional questions may flag up the need for further assessment and liaison with the local Child Protection team:

- Whether parents/carers are aware of their sexual activity
- Whether parents/carers are aware of their attendance at the clinic
- Whether they have ever had sex against their will
- Age of partner
- Vulnerability (e.g., self-harm, psychiatric illness, drug or alcohol misuse)

Where children under the age of 13 years report sexual activity, this should be discussed with a senior colleague and there is an expectation that this will be discussed in confidence, with the local child protection lead. Reporting to the children's social care and police may be indicated but is not mandatory.

## **Closing the Sexual History**

*Recommendation:* After the sexual history is completed, the clinician should:

- Check with the patient that they have no other concerns that have not yet been discussed.
- Explain the need for and nature of a clinical examination and the clinical test sampling and other investigations.
- Explain the need for and offer a chaperone for the examination to all patients. If the chaperone is declined by the patient, this should be recorded.  
**Evidence Level IV, Grade of Recommendation C**
- The mode of communicating results to the patient should be clearly established.

## **Documentation**

- *Recommendation:* Record keeping of a sexual history should be in keeping with the recommended national good standards of practice.
- Many clinicians and medical services now employ proformas (see Appendix of the original guideline document). It has been suggested that sexual health services may also benefit from employing proformas, which may:
  - Assist this record keeping
  - Make history taking more systematic
  - Reduce the chance of omitting important pieces of information
  - Facilitate audit

## **Definitions:**

### **Levels of Evidence**

#### **Ia**

- Evidence obtained from meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials

#### **Ib**

- Evidence obtained from at least one randomised controlled trial

#### **IIa**

- Evidence obtained from at least one well designed controlled study without randomisation

#### **IIb**

- Evidence obtained from at least one other type of well designed quasi-experimental study

#### **III**

- Evidence obtained from well designed non-experimental descriptive studies such as comparative studies, correlation studies, and case control studies

#### **IV**

- Evidence obtained from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experience of respected authorities

### **Grading of Recommendations**

#### **A (Evidence Levels Ia, Ib)**

- Requires at least one randomised controlled trial as part of the body of literature of overall good quality and consistency addressing the specific recommendation.

#### **B (Evidence Levels IIa, IIb, III)**

- Requires availability of well conducted clinical studies but no randomised clinical trials on the topic of recommendation.

#### **C (Evidence Level IV)**

- Requires evidence from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experience of respected authorities.
- Indicates absence of directly applicable studies of good quality.

#### **CLINICAL ALGORITHM(S)**

None provided

### **EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### **TYPE OF EVIDENCE SUPPORTING THE RECOMMENDATIONS**

The type of supporting evidence is identified and graded for select recommendations (see "Major Recommendations").

### **BENEFITS/HARMS OF IMPLEMENTING THE GUIDELINE RECOMMENDATIONS**

#### **POTENTIAL BENEFITS**

- Effective sexual history-taking on which to base clinical decision-making
- Increased comfort level of patients undergoing sexual-history taking

#### **POTENTIAL HARMS**

Not stated

### **QUALIFYING STATEMENTS**

#### **QUALIFYING STATEMENTS**

- This guideline should apply to sexual history-taking within genitourinary (GU) medicine/sexual health settings. It is intended for a framework for sexual history-taking and different settings will require the guideline to be adapted accordingly. It is likely that services in outreach settings and offering rapid access to screening will need to make compromises in terms of the detail of sexual history-taking appropriate to their level of service.
- The recommendations in this guideline may not be appropriate for use in all clinical situations. Decisions to follow these recommendations must be based

on the professional judgement of the clinician and consideration of individual patient circumstances and available resources.

## IMPLEMENTATION OF THE GUIDELINE

### DESCRIPTION OF IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

An implementation strategy was not provided.

### IMPLEMENTATION TOOLS

Audit Criteria/Indicators

For information about [availability](#), see the "Availability of Companion Documents" and "Patient Resources" fields below.

## INSTITUTE OF MEDICINE (IOM) NATIONAL HEALTHCARE QUALITY REPORT CATEGORIES

### IOM CARE NEED

Getting Better  
Living with Illness  
Staying Healthy

### IOM DOMAIN

Effectiveness  
Patient-centeredness

## IDENTIFYING INFORMATION AND AVAILABILITY

### BIBLIOGRAPHIC SOURCE(S)

French P, Sexual History-Taking Working Party, Clinical Effectiveness Group of the British Association for Sexual Health and HIV. BASHH 2006 national guidelines-- consultations requiring sexual history-taking. Int J STD AIDS 2007 Jan;18(1):17-22. [34 references] [PubMed](#)

### ADAPTATION

Not applicable: The guideline was not adapted from another source.

### DATE RELEASED

2007 Jan

### GUIDELINE DEVELOPER(S)

British Association for Sexual Health and HIV - Medical Specialty Society

## **SOURCE(S) OF FUNDING**

No specific or external funding was sought or provided in the development of this guideline.

## **GUIDELINE COMMITTEE**

Clinical Effectiveness Group

## **COMPOSITION OF GROUP THAT AUTHORED THE GUIDELINE**

*The Sexual History-Taking Guideline Working Party Members:* Patrick French, John Richens, Veronica Spooner, Cecilia Priestley, Karen Colburn, Heather Wilson

*Clinical Effectiveness Group (CEG) Members:* Keith Radcliffe (*Chairman*), Imytaz Ahmed-Jushuf; Mark Fitzgerald, Guy Rooney; Jan Welsh, David Daniels, Neil Lazzaro

## **FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES/CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

Not stated

## **GUIDELINE STATUS**

This is the current release of the guideline.

## **GUIDELINE AVAILABILITY**

Electronic copies: Available in Portable Document Format (PDF) from [British Association of Sexual Health and HIV Web Site](#).

## **AVAILABILITY OF COMPANION DOCUMENTS**

Auditable outcome measures and a range of different proforma are provided in the [original guideline document](#).

## **PATIENT RESOURCES**

None available

## **NGC STATUS**

This NGC summary was completed by ECRI on June 16, 2008. The information was verified by the guideline developer on August 13, 2008.

## **COPYRIGHT STATEMENT**



This NGC summary is based on the original guideline, which is subject to the guideline developers and/or BMJ Publishing Group's copyright restrictions. Reproduction and use of this guideline is permitted provided that (a) the original content is not changed or edited; and, (b) any content derived from the original guideline is acknowledged as that of the author(s) and responsible organizations.

Readers wishing to download and reproduce material for purposes other than personal study or education should contact BMJ Publishing Group to seek permission first at <http://www.bmjournals.com/misc/perm1.shtml>.

## DISCLAIMER

### NGC DISCLAIMER

The National Guideline Clearinghouse™ (NGC) does not develop, produce, approve, or endorse the guidelines represented on this site.

All guidelines summarized by NGC and hosted on our site are produced under the auspices of medical specialty societies, relevant professional associations, public or private organizations, other government agencies, health care organizations or plans, and similar entities.

Guidelines represented on the NGC Web site are submitted by guideline developers, and are screened solely to determine that they meet the NGC Inclusion Criteria which may be found at <http://www.guideline.gov/about/inclusion.aspx>.

NGC, AHRQ, and its contractor ECRI Institute make no warranties concerning the content or clinical efficacy or effectiveness of the clinical practice guidelines and related materials represented on this site. Moreover, the views and opinions of developers or authors of guidelines represented on this site do not necessarily state or reflect those of NGC, AHRQ, or its contractor ECRI Institute, and inclusion or hosting of guidelines in NGC may not be used for advertising or commercial endorsement purposes.

Readers with questions regarding guideline content are directed to contact the guideline developer.

© 1998-2008 National Guideline Clearinghouse

Date Modified: 9/15/2008

